UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,976	07/29/2005	Rowan Dallimore	034369-003	· 5016
55694 7590 01/24/2007 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC) 1500 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209		, ·	EXAMINER	
			FRANCIS, FAYE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	. 3725	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
31 DAYS		01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/525,976	DALLIMORE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Faye Francis	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATERIOR AS A 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS as cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2005	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	• •	
application from the International Burea	•	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ceived.
Attachment(s)	🗖 .	(770,440)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

group I, the species shown In Figs 5-6;

group II, the species shown In Fig 10;

group III, the species shown In Figs 11-13;

group IV, the species shown In Fig 14;

group V, the species not shown but claimed in claim 8.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Application/Control Number: 10/525,976

Art Unit: 3725

Page 3

- a] claims 1-2, 4-7, 9 correspond to the species in group I.
- b] claims 1 and 10 correspond to the species in group II.
- c] claims 1, 3, 9 and 11-14 correspond to the species in group III.
- d] claims 1 and 15 correspond to the species in group IV.
- e] claims 1-2 and 8 correspond to the species in group V.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1 appears to be generic.

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: group I, II, III, IV and V do not relate to a single inventive concept since group I is directed to a pin which lack the same or corresponding special technical feature in group II, III, IV, V and VI, group II is directed to a wedge inserted into a wear plate hole which lack the same or corresponding special technical feature in group I, III, IV and V, group III is directed to a wedge which lack the same or corresponding special technical feature in group I, II, IV and V, group IV is directed to a wedge made of polymer which lack the same or corresponding special technical feature in group I, II, III and V and group V is directed to a threaded bar which lack the same or corresponding special technical feature in group I, II, III and IV.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Ms. Wendi Leigh Weinstein on Tuesday January 9, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,976

Art Unit: 3725

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 571-272-4423. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,976

Art Unit: 3725

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FF

Faye Francis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3725